Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/582,794	NORRILD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SUSAN HANLEY	1651
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>amended</u>		
(1) <u>SUSAN HANLEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Arthur Crawford</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 October 2010</u>	Time: <u>1:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all of record		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Crawford agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment to bring the case into condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A <sub>j</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)